SMITH AND MOORE ARCHITECTS, INC.

Harold Smith • Jonathan Moore • Peter Papadopoulos • Daniel Kahan



Re: 1010 North Lake Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480

December 16th, 2024

LETTER OF INTENT (LOI)
ARC-24-0114 ZON-**-**

PROPOSED RENOVATION TO A PARTIALTWO-STORY HOUSE IN R-B DISTRICT

Please find for review the attached drawings for our project at 1010 North Lake Way in the R-B Zoning District of Palm Beach. The proposal is for a renovation to an existing partial 2-story residence, including (2) new Loggia additions to the existing footprint. The proposal also includes: raising the existing non-conforming house/first floor slab 1'-6" to meet FEMA minimum; moving the existing house 3'-0" to the East, a new pool, hardscape, and landscape. Specifically, the existing structure is raised to meet and exceed FEMA minimum, and moved forward 3'-0" to reduce the existing size of the motor court and enlarge the rear yard. Existing building footprint is 5,860 sq ft, proposed building footprint is 6,404sqft. We believe the proposal is in accordance with the following guidelines:

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 18-205 AND 18-206:

Sec. 18-205. - Criteria for building permit.

- 1. The plan for this proposed residence is in conformity with good taste and design and in general contributes to the image of the town and neighborhood as a place of beauty, spaciousness, balance, charm and high quality.
- 2. The plan for the proposed building or structure is reasonably protected against external and internal noise and other factors that would tend to make the environment less desirable.
 - a. The plans show that major entertaining spaces are centrally located on the site placing these spaces far as possible from neighboring properties.
 - b. The pool area is located at the rear of the residence.
 - c. The proposed generator and pool equipment are located in a walled enclosure on the South side of the house. (Lake Trail Access side)
- 3. The proposed building exterior design and appearance is not of inferior quality such as to cause the nature of the local environment to materially depreciate in appearance value.
- 4. The proposed residence is in harmony with the proposed developments on land in the general area and with the compressive plan for the town.
- 5. The proposed residence is not excessively similar to any other structure existing or within 200 feet of the proposed site in respect to one or more of the following features of the exterior design and appearance:
 - a. This proposal does not have apparently visible identical front or side elevations.

SMITH AND MOORE ARCHITECTS, INC.

- b. This proposal does not have substantially identical size and arrangement of either doors, windows, porticos or other opening or breaks in the elevation facing the street, including reverse arrangement.
- c. We do not have other significant identical features of design such as, but not limited to, material roof line and height of other design elements.
- 6. The proposed residence is not excessively dissimilar in relation to any other structures existing or within 200 feet of the proposed site in respect to one or more of the following features:
 - a. Height of building or height of roof.
 - b. Other significant design features including, but not limited to, materials or quality of architectural design.
 - c. Architectural compatibility.
 - d. Arrangements of components of the structure.
 - e. Appearance of mass from the street or from any perspective visible to the public or adjoining property owners.
 - f. Diversity of design that is complimentary with the size and massing of adjacent properties.
 - g. Design features that will avoid the appearance of mass through improper proportions.
 - h. Design elements that protect the privacy of a neighboring property.
- 7. The proposed Loggia additions are subservient in style and massing to the principal or main structure.
- 8. The proposed residence is appropriate in relation to the established character of other structures in the immediate area or neighboring areas in respect to significant design features such as material or quality or architectural design as viewed from any public or private way (except alleys).
- 9. The proposed development is in conformity with the standards of this code and other applicable ordinances insofar as the location and appearance of the buildings and structures are involved. The proposed design meets the zoning code, however does require variances.
- 10. The projects 'location and design adequately protect unique site characteristics such as those related to scenic views, rock outcroppings, natural vistas, waterways and similar features. The proposed residence does not negatively impact any existing natural features.

VARIANCES 134-201

VARIANCE 1: Section 134-893 (11) (b.) Residential districts.

Request for a variance to allow 34.1% Lot coverage in Lieu of 30% required by code (31.2% Existing)

VARIANCE 2: Section 134-893 (7) (a.) Residential districts.

Request for a variance to keep existing North, 1-story side yard, setback of 8'-0" in lieu of 12'-6"

VARIANCE 3: Section 134-893 (7) (a.) Residential districts.

Request for a variance to keep existing South, 2-story side yard, setback of 10'-1-1/2" in lieu of 15'-0"

VARIANCE 4: Section 134-1729(1)(d) Request for a variance to allow a generator and pool equipment that will not be screened with a wall the height of said generator and pool equipment.

Sincerely,

Peter Papadopoulos, Principal Architect