Esquire Deposition Solutions, #J8152390



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

1 TRANSCRIPTION

CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: The next item on the agenda is item 10 -- excuse me. That's been deferred.

CLERK: Well, the agenda, discussion of 425 Chilean, just in case it wasn't clear because it is marked deferred on your agenda.

CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Okay. I'll let you take it over.

CLERK: So ARC -- ARC 21-101 located at 425 Chilean

Avenue has been reopened for discussion purpose only. It

will not be -- no motion will be made today. I don't know if

James would like to elaborate.

MR. MURPHY: Yes, thank you.

This file was last heard on December 17th, 2021. Staff has not received additional documentation to submit to the applicants -- to the Commission to weigh upon, so it's listed as deferred.

Upon conference with the Vice -- with the then Vice

Chair after the owner's representative reached out to me

asking if they could meet -- please make a brief

presentation for discussion purposes only, the owner had

flown into town, they take -- this concern very seriously.

As you may recall, the application is for a landscape plan that included the removal of two trees in the front yard that was part of the previously approved ARCOM plans.

So as for the timeline, after December 17th, the design



1	direction was provided by this Commission, they were
2	deferred to the January 26th meeting, 2022, then to the
3	February 23rd, meeting, 2022, and then today.
4	So again, I believe the applicant would just like to
5	discuss the progress that they've made, reiterate their
6	perspective on the removal of the trees, and play a movie
7	that they supplied to us and we forwarded to you. So thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Okay. Thank you.
10	Ken, any ex-parte communication?
11	CLERK: Your microphone, please.
12	(BACKGROUND DISCUSSION BEING HAD)
13	CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Good question. Skip?
14	CLERK: I don't believe he heard the question, Mr.
15	Kirchhoff.
16	MR. RANDOLPH: I understand.
17	CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Your sound.
18	MR. RANDOLPH: I would do ex-parte even though it's for
19	discussion purposes only because, ultimately, you may be
20	making a motion, not today but a subsequent time.
21	CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Okay. 425 Chilean Chilean
22	Avenue.
23	MR. MURPHY: Again, there are no plans. This was listed
24	as deferred item. They just want to, kind of, get a little

update on where they are and provide, again, their



1 perspective on the -- on the removal of those two trees. 2 CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: I did receive an email from Mr. 3 Lynch with a video. COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: I received the same email. 4 5 COMMISSIONER COREY: I received the email, as well, 6 with the video of the car parked out in the driveway. 7 COMMISSIONER KARAKUL: I also -- I also received the 8 video. I went to the site. I drove into the site head in. I 9 also drove -- I also backed into the site to experience the 10 situation so I have a better idea. COMMISSIONER CONNAUGHTON: I also received the video. 11 12 COMMISSIONER SMITH: I received the email and the 13 video. 14 MR. LYNCH: Good afternoon. Frank Lynch --15 COMMISSIONER GRACE: I received the email and the video 16 too. 17 I apologize. MR. LYNCH: 18 Good afternoon. Frank Lynch. I'm here on behalf of 19 Lorraine and John Charman who are here with me today, along 2.0 with Dustin Mizell of Environment Design Group. We are here 21 before you seeking what we hope is a simple solution to what 22 has become a very complicated problem. 23 This is a house that was approved on Chilean Avenue and 24 it was approved with a front-facing garage. Obviously, a

front-facing garage is permitted by code, but we understand



2.0

your policy has been in years past to try to site screen those front-facing garages to the extent possible.

We would suggest to you that site screening this particular garage is not possible because of the safety issues that it creates. Upon moving into the house in 2020, Mr. and Mrs. Charman experienced this firsthand, and as the trees grew and matured the problem became even worse.

This is a garage that is approximately 25 feet from the sidewalk. There is to the west a significant hedge, probably 15 feet in height, that creates at least some screening, although not ours, for the garage.

There were two trees that were approved to be placed on the -- on the property. In practice, that did not work. They became visual impairments to backing out of the driveway and the safety of those, especially those using the sidewalk.

As you know, Chilean Avenue allows parking on both sides of the street. There are parking spaces within 12 feet of the opening in the site wall backing out onto the street. In addition, there is a significant pitch leading from the street up to the driveway which exacerbates the problem.

There are a number of houses already existing on Chilean Avenue with front-facing garages. The site screening in those particular instances are perpendicular hedges that run from the structure of the building towards the sidewalk and meet the sidewalk. That creates an issue even for those



2.0

<u>۔</u> م

people that are living there. And we have had discussions with a number of the neighbors who are in support of the removal of these trees -- now to the removal of the trees.

My clients have never lived in Palm Beach before. This is their first experience. They are not familiar with all of the codes and ordinances that we have. When they experienced this problem, in light of the fact that it was a safety concern, they chose to remove the trees to eliminate that safety concern. They were not aware of the ordinance that would require that we apply to remove those trees or even come back here to seek approval.

They did not do it intentionally. They had no intention of ignoring Town codes. It was an oversight on their part for which I have apologized before code enforcement. And Mrs. Charman here will speak to that, as well.

But our consideration here is to come before you. You had asked us last time to consider a few different options. One option included making a cir -- somewhat of a circular driveway across the property so that we could exit on the other side. And again, that took away a lot of greenspace which the Charmans do not want to do.

In addition, if there's a consideration for another curb cut, well, we've already eliminated one curb cut by combining two houses here into the one house that currently exists. So again, that's not an issue.



2.0

At code enforcement things were discussed like mirrors. And you'll see from the video, because of the proximity of the driveway to the sidewalk, and the fact that the garage doors do not line up with the site wall opening, there is some maneuvering that has to be done in that 25 feet to get out to the street.

So if you're going to put mirrors somewhere, you're going to be putting a lot of mirrors because your perspective changes as you move out.

The Charmans are not opposed to placing something there, but their concern is anything that exceeds the site — the height of the site wall is going to be a visual impairment and is going to be adversely affecting what happens there.

We've now got the marina and it's enhanced. We expect that there's going to be more foot traffic along the sidewalk. We don't want to have a problem. This was all about avoiding a problem, not creating one. But, nonetheless, here we are before you and we're seeking some solutions here.

I know you say on many occasions we don't design projects but you do provide suggestions. And here what we're looking for are some suggestions that give consideration to the Charmans' safety concerns, while addressing some ability to site screen the garage, keeping in mind that this is a



1 | very difficult site to work with.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So I have a video that I'd like to show you. Sir, can I -- there we go. And this just is a very short video that shows you us backing out of the driveway. And you can see what has to happen in order to get out.

(VIDEO BEING PLAYED)

MR. LYNCH: Again, as you can see the apron for the garage is not overly large. It's probably 25 by 25. And again, we did that to make sure we could maintain significant landscaping.

But with that, I will turn this over to Mrs. Charman and be available to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

MRS. CHARMAN: First, I want to thank the Committee for allowing me to speak today. I really appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: Can you just state your name and your address?

MRS. CHARMAN: My name is Lorraine Charman and I live at 425 Chilean Avenue when I'm in the United States.

My understanding is that a great deal of the distress for the committee is because I did not ask for permission. As Frank pointed out, I didn't realize I needed to ask for permission.

I did not speak to the landscape architect when I took the trees down. So the landscape architect that did the



2.0

design on the -- on the property didn't know that I did this. I just called a tree removal company and had them remove it.

Mr. Floershemier, anecdotally, we were just chatting before the meeting started, and others have said well, why don't you just back, which sounds pretty practical but at the risk of boring you, I have two bays. The east bay can only be used for a very, very low car that I have and I must back that in. I only take it out very, very rarely because it's very, very difficult for me to get it back in.

There's pedestrian traffic, there's people with prams, they are all wearing those ear things now, they don't listen, they don't see where they are going, so I think this is going to be an issue that you are going to face well beyond my property.

But, nonetheless, you saw I have a big car. That's in the west side. And it's just not practical for me on a day-in-day-out basis to back in. It's quite an awkward angle because I can back in slowly and carefully to the east side, but what I would have to do for the right side would be very, very difficult and it's distressing and it's not really practical for me to do that.

So I understand the whole site screen, as Frank pointed out. I walk through the neighborhoods. I walk all through Palm Beach. We're very, very happy to be residents of Har --



1 | Palm Beach.

2.0

I was one of the first people to sign up for the pre-payment of moving the easement of the utilities. I'm sure the tax collector will tell you I pay my taxes very, very quickly. I am a good neighbor. I look after the people in my area.

I also wanted to mention that there's three

Bougainvillea that we were having trouble with that will,

actually, shield the whole front of the garage. We

purposefully stucco'd it so that it can grow through that

whole area. And we've seen significant progress since John

and I have been able to come down and talk to a landscaper

about really trivial things, like feeding it and watering it

properly.

So from my perspective, what I'm looking for is some common sense and really just some kindness to understand that this is an issue I have. As Frank said, it was not intentional to avoid the process. I certainly will, again, apologize to whoever I have offended, but we love Palm Beach, we want to live here when we're in America.

I am a permanent resident of Palm Beach. My husband is not American. So we're showing in good faith trying to figure out why safety can't -- my safety and the safety of other people is not considered paramount by anybody. Thank you.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Thank you. Yes? Ms. Shiverick? COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: Well, I think that the house was flaw -- flaw -- flawed when it was designed initially. And I don't remember what year that was, but it was at least three -- it was before you bought it, Mrs. Charman. MRS. CHARMAN: No, no. I built it. Sorry. Excuse me. COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: Oh, you built it? MRS. CHARMAN: I did build it. But we went through from -- again, I wasn't living in American when this house was built. I was predominantly coming back for meetings with the builder or the landscape architect. But really I was coming back from the UK because I had an ailing mother. So I bought the townhouses with the intention of putting them together. When we stripped the inside we found some fairly significant structural issues. I didn't buy it to demolish it, which was a comment that someone made

COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: Well, it just appears to me that it's a pretty large house and it's set way back from the road. And I've never understood, as I walk by it a lot, why it doesn't have a circular drive.

earlier, I bought it to renovate it. So --

And I know you think it's going to reduce your hardscape, but there's lots of driveway material and ways to do driveways that actually look like they could incorporate green.



1 MRS. CHARMAN: And the -- sorry.

2.0

COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: And I just believe that, in my view having walked by it while I'm observing, that this is a good solution.

I don't know what your budget is. I don't know how far you want to go with, you know, another construction project. We have no idea. But if it were my home, I would say that makes a huge amount of sense because the house can take it, the property can take it, and I think it would be a really gracious way to enter and exit.

MRS. CHARMAN: I am opposed to a circular driveway. The entire design of the front landscape was to enhance and maximize the greenspace and not to -- and to minimize hardscape. Unfortunately, I don't have a photo of my house available in front of me or to show you, but it has a main walkway directly in the middle of the -- of the property. The entire entrance is designed around that entry.

So anything that would -- first of all, I would lose about 25 percent of the existing area that's mostly grass but lots of hedges and lots of plantings. So -- but, more importantly, I think it would be really a lot of dissonance because you would look at it and say, well, where's -- where's the rest of that wall.

It would be a substantial expense for me to do that and -- but it's what I've got. You know, I think our house is



2.0

beautiful. I will tell people have stopped me all the time when I'm in the front of my yard thanking me for what I've built, thanking me for improving the area.

Most of the area around us are really unattractive condominiums, to be honest, on our block. It's not a pretty block.

So we feel we've really enhanced the block because it's a single family. We supported the city mandate of trying to reduce the density by going from two homes to one. As I said, we -- I follow all the rules. Frank makes sure that I get everything on time because I'm often not here for different schedules.

But I think that, as Frank mentioned, on our block there is only one house with a side entry garage, only one, which I think is why the committee kindly allowed us to have a front entry.

And the trees when they were built -- or, sorry, when they were planted, there were two big things I did not notice when I signed off on the plan. One was I had no idea the garage exit -- what do you -- what do you call that? The apron.

MR. LYNCH: Site --

MRS. CHARMAN: The apron was -- was not the same width as the garages. It's narrower. Didn't notice that. And then as Frank pointed out, I've got eight feet to make up in a



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1/

very -- eight feet of an incline to make up in a very short
spot.

The other thing I didn't think about was when those trees grew how much foliage there would be, and that's when it became a problem.

So for me a circul -- I don't want to do anything to detract from what I believe is a very, very beautiful house. My husband and I have been very fortunate. We have houses in other parts of the world. We think this is the best one we've ever done.

COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: I think a well designed driveway would actually enhance it. If you could do a motor court, a pretty entry, that's my personal opinion. I mean, I think we're all here just throwing ideas.

MR. MURPHY: Yep.

MRS. CHARMAN: Yeah.

MR. MURPHY: And if I may, again, having conferred with Mr. Corey, this was brought to your attention out of deference for the owners' travel schedules and they wanted to speak with you. They were -- they understand that the plans weren't submitted and you're not making any decision today.

This was really -- and I relayed this to Mr. Lynch.

This was as a courtesy to the Charmans so that they can -they weren't able to be here in December to make their case.



2.0

This is really just to weigh upon.

I sent Mr. Lynch the minutes from the December meeting specific to his application which provided the landscape design direction provided by the then Commission. He's acknowledged that. He just wanted the opportunity for Mrs. Charman to speak to you all and he understands that any — any of the proposed direction, even if they come next month with no changes, seeking approval, but they just wanted to have the opportunity to have Mrs. Charman make her case for her family and, you know, I didn't mean it to have all the Commissioners begin to weigh in and make this a long, lengthy discussion. It was a courtesy to have them being heard.

CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Mr. Corey?

COMMISSIONER COREY: Then I won't -- then I won't design anything for anybody but thank you for approaching the Commission. I think we'll probably move on but I probably were (sic) to say, you know, Betsy's idea is fantastic but maybe it's not practical. I'm not quite sure.

But I think fundamentally the issue is that the garage was moved to front-facing and the Commission saw an opportunity to screen it in a way. So you have already a talented landscape architect. I would put some designs together and present it to the Commission. I don't think it needs to be so drawn out. I think you could probably get



some consensus up here.

2.0

CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Ms. Grace?

COMMISSIONER GRACE: Yeah. I agree with Ms. Shiverick.

I think it's important if we have front-facing garage is to try and screen them. But there are a lot of attractive driveways that use nice materials and find ways to make them look attractive. So I hope you can find a way to do it.

CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Mr. Floershemier?

COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: Thank you. Please correct me if my memory is wrong, but I believe, in regards to this project and the time when the trees were removed there was a letter that referred to a sculpture in the front yard that was lit, and because of the removal of the landscaping that offended some of the neighbors.

Do I have the right property or not?

MR. LYNCH: You have the right property.

There was a discussion that we had at the meeting as to which landscape plan was approved and the final landscape plan was that -- I believe it was approved administratively, I think Mr. Martin may have been a part of that, and it did include the sculpture. It did also include the two trees that were removed. But that was the extent of the landscape plan that was approved, constructed, and based on which the certificate of occupancy was issued.

COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: All right. So my question



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

is when I went to the property I was looking at the driveway, I wasn't looking around so much, is the sculpture still there and is it lit at night?

MRS. CHARMAN: So you make a very good point. It's very difficult to see the sculpture from the gentleman who wrote the letter which is in the condominium across from us, because the garage comes out and the house is recessed and the sculpture is in that recess in a 90 degree angle.

So if he can see it, I guess if he comes outside and gets on the sidewalk he could probably see it, but I'm not sure he can see it from the property. When he sent you the letter, because Frank also sent me the letter, he provided photographs.

COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: He did?

MRS. CHARMAN: In the photographs you can see the angle to which he sees the house. So he can probably see part of the sculpture. It's a fairly large sculpture but I also have -- you know, if it's letters you want, I've got letters. I've got letters from the people -- other people who live in

that building --

COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: It was a simple there.

MRS. CHARMAN: Yeah. So that's it.

COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: The sculpture is there. Is it --

MRS. CHARMAN: The sculpture is there and, from what I



1 understand, is it lit, is that what you said? 2 COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: Is it lit at night? 3 MRS. CHARMAN: It is lit at night --4 COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: Okav. MRS. CHARMAN: -- by three small lights. 5 6 COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Mr. Murphy? 8 MR. MURPHY: Yes. So, Mr. Lynch, please submit to the 9 department the plans that you would like to be considered at 10 next month's meeting. Review the minutes from the December 11 meeting that gave more design direction. Take any direction 12 that's been provided today in order to reflect a decision 13 that the Board can contemplate with a set of realized plans 14 and photographs. 15 CHAIRMAN KIRCHHOFF: Is there anyone from the public 16 that would like to speak about this? Hearing none. Okay. 17 Then let's move on to the next item. 18 MR. LYNCH: Thank you. 19 (AGENDA ITEM CONCLUDED) 2.0 21 22 23 24



۷.

I, LOUANNE RAWLS, certify that I was authorized to and did

transcript is a true and complete record to the best of my ability.

listen to and transcribe the foregoing proceedings and that the

CERTIFICATE

<u>, |</u>

Dated this 23rd day of April, 2022.



LOUANNE RAWLS, #J8152390



