1	
2	EXHIBIT "C"
3	
4	
5	
6	TRANSCRIPTION OF
7	TOWN OF PALM BEACH
8	ARCOM MEETING
9	DECEMBER 17, 2021
10	ITEM D(6) - 425 CHILEAN AVENUE
11	ARC 21-101
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	Transcribed by Louanne Rawls
24	Notary Public, State of Florida
25	Esquire Deposition Solutions, #J8152389
20	



1	TRANSCRIPTION
2	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. Moving on now to the last major,
3	for the record, Mr. Smith, rejoining us. We're on ARC
4	21-101, 425 Chilean Avenue.
5	MR. LYNCH: Good morning. For the record, Frank Lynch,
6	605 North Olive Avenue, West Palm Beach. I have not been
7	sworn.
8	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. And you're appearing on behalf
9	of the applicant?
10	MR. LYNCH: On behalf of the applicant. Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. You need to be sworn in. Who
12	else is appearing with you, Mr. Lynch?
13	MR. LYNCH: Dustin Mizell. I believe he's been sworn.
14	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Dustin Mizell has been sworn. Okay.
15	CLERK: If there's anybody that needs to be sworn in,
16	you can stand and do so at this time.
17	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony which you're
18	about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
19	the truth so help you God?
20	MR. LYNCH: I do.
21	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Good. Okay. At this time, then, we'll
22	take ex-parte, starting with Mr. Kirchhoff.
23	COMMISSIONER KIRCHHOFF: Yes. I reviewed the plans that
24	were distributed by the Town and I read the letter from the
25	neighbor and from Frank Lynch.



Г

	ESQUIRE B00.211.DEPO (3376 EsquireSolutions.com
25	CHAIRMAN SMALL: And Mr. Sammons?
24	the staff memo, and visited the site.
23	COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: I reviewed the mini set,
22	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Mr. Floershemier?
21	and the correspondence to the Town.
20	COMMISSIONER CATLIN: I reviewed the plans, staff memo,
19	objection, as well as the rebuttal letter from Mr. Lynch.
18	staff memo, I walked by the site, and I read the letter rej
17	COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: I reviewed the plans, the
16	and the staff report and the letter.
15	UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: I have reviewed the plans
14	Capital C-O-U-N? No? All right.
13	Am I pronouncing that correctly, McCoun? Capital M-c,
12	from Mr. McCoun.
11	reviewed the mini set, and the staff report and a letter
10	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. And I went by the site, also
9	COMMISSIONER SMITH: I reviewed the plans.
8	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. Mr. Smith.
7	COMMISSIONER GRACE: Same. And I went by the site
6	Ms. Grace?
5	well.
4	online and on telephone. So all of us have to speak up, as
3	example, Mr. Sammons, they're having difficulty hearing you
2	speaking up. We're getting some comments that for
1	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. We have to make sure we're

1 VICE CHAIR SAMMONS: I did get the correspondence and 2 other than that, no. 3 CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: I have to add, I received 4 5 the correspondence, as well, and read it. 6 CHAIRMAN SMALL: Mr. Lynch, as you've heard, if you've 7 been present during the course of the meeting, it's 8 customary now to ask whether the applicant will agree to 9 dedicate the utility easement for underground if requested 10 to do so by the Town. 11 MR. LYNCH: Mr. Chair, this is a -- a house that's recently been built. I believe we may have dedicated the 12 13 easement already. That's -- that's my only concern with that 14 request, is that this is new construction, been completed 15 within the last two years, and I believe, underscore 16 believe, that we've already granted --17 CHAIRMAN SMALL: Maybe Dustin knows. 18 Do you know, by chance, Dustin? 19 CHAIRMAN SMALL: No. 20 MR. LYNCH: Keith Williams was the landscape architect. CHAIRMAN SMALL: I'm sorry. My apologies. 21 MR. LYNCH: No, on the first project. On the previous. 22 23 CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. 24 MR. LYNCH: I'm not sure that the -- so --25 CHAIRMAN SMALL: Well, go ahead and proceed if you



don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MR. LYNCH: I'm not sure I made the cut. Good morning. 425 Chilean Avenue. I'm here on behalf of the property owner, Lorraine Charman.

This is a situation in which we're seeking to modify an existing landscape plan. That landscape plan was approved, installed. The house was issued a certificate of occupancy based on an approved plan.

And in practice we've found that two trees adjacent to the driveway created a safety issue for my client when she backs out of the driveway onto Chilean Avenue. She saw that her view was blocked of not only the street but also of the sidewalk and, unfortunately, took it upon herself to remove those two trees prior to receiving approval. She accepts responsibility for that.

This is a matter before code enforcement and the outcome of that code enforcement action is pending what happens here today with our application.

As I said, we have removed two trees. That's all that was removed from the approved landscape plan. Mr. McCoun, his letter refers, we believe, to a landscape plan that was previously submitted but not approved and not installed.

Again, I will tell you that we did receive a certificate of occupancy based on the landscape plan as it exists today with the inclusion of those two trees which



3

4

5

6

7

24

25

were subsequently removed and are the subject of our
application today.

Without any further discussion, I will turn the microphone over to Mr. Mizell to address the changes that have been made. Thank you.

MR. MIZELL: Good afternoon. Dustin Mizell, Environment Design Group, Palm Beach.

8 As Mr. Lynch prefaced it, just to continue the 9 conversation post that, if you -- let me go back to the 10 right here. If you look at the -- this was the last staff approval. It certainly is different than -- in the letter 11 12 that was presented to you. Those were earlier designs. As 13 the project evolved and it went through ARCOM several times, 14 the project thinned itself out a little bit and the staff 15 approval actually brough the citrus and this little parterre 16 lay back in. So it's even, currently, more enhanced than was originally approved by ARCOM. 17

These are the two trees in question, right here, at the entrance to the motor court and the driveway. So our current application in front of you requests the removal of these two Ficus trees. They were installed at 25 feet. They had subsequently grown more significantly. So that's why we're before you today, for this little area.

And here's some existing condition, just for context, if you did not have the opportunity to visit the site. Here



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 are the plantar areas. There's a small site wall, a raised 2 curb. And then this dimension, you can see, it's about 46 3 and three-quarter inches between the inside to the site wall 4 and the raised curb.

There's a footer under the site wall, type D sunken footer, as well, for the raised curb. And we just don't have the area. Obviously, it's not sustainable for large gate trees in there. So we have a very, very limited area.

So this existing view looking back towards the street, this is the current view looking towards the front façade.

So what we're proposing before you today is to go ahead and put a tall hedge behind the existing wall, six foot height, remove the Bougainvillea, which have not been sufficient to cover the façade with very large mature ones to completely cover it.

So this is our proposal to go ahead and raise the landscaping behind the site wall, which currently does not even pop above it, replace all the Bougainvillea, it will do very well there, it faces the south, full sun, and this would be our proposal in front of you.

Again, as Mr. Lynch mentioned, the technical visibility triangles are up to the asphalt. The true visibility issue is with our client, as you can see in the site photos, really begins way before the street for concern about pedestrians traversing, but also vehicles.



1	So we don't think that the trees, though originally
2	approved, are appropriate. They certainly don't have the
3	room for it to be sustainable. And the bigger issue is the
4	safety for our client. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Thank you. Anything further from the
6	applicant?
7	MR. LYNCH: No.
8	CHAIRMAN SMALL: There being none, anything from the
9	public, either in person or virtual?
10	CLERK: There is no public comment on Zoom.
11	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. Anything further, then, from
12	staff?
13	STAFF REPRESENTATIVE: Staff has no additional comments
14	to add.
15	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Okay. Now from my fellow
16	Commissioners, Mr. Kirchhoff, followed by Ms. Grace.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRCHHOFF: I understand your issue with
18	the space between the wall and the curb. I think you need
19	something taller and help break up this garage façade. I
20	don't agree with just putting a hedge there. That's my
21	comments.
22	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Ms. Grace?
23	COMMISSIONER GRACE: Yes. Thank you.
24	I like it much better the way it was, I guess,
25	previously planned to be. I would like to have much more



screening of the garage and any of the house, itself, I'd
like to see increased greenery.

CHAIRMAN SMALL: Ms. Catlin followed by Ms. Shiverick. COMMISSIONER CATLIN: Dustin, I'm just a little bit confused and, you know, it's because I'm using a point of reference that's personal.

I know that where I live, and I have to back out onto the street, and I have a hedge and the hedge is high, and it's not as high as the one that you've proposed here, that I have issues seeing around the hedge. So I'm not sure hedge versus tree that I have -- that I'm buying into that, eliminating the safety issue there.

13 And I'm wondering if there isn't a way to do something 14 that is -- is higher. And it's like -- and I'm not 15 suggesting that this is the answer, but it -- like, you know 16 when we see the pleached trees, there's a thin trunk and 17 then shade above, that maybe something that's more along 18 those lines might be an answer so that it leaves the visual 19 area open to be able to see site lines for the cars to back 20 out, that addresses the safety issue, and then also 21 potentially screens a little bit more, which is kind of what 22 the neighbors are asking.

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. MIZELL: Yes, ma'am. And I appreciate that.

24 We actually had done some 3D studies with the client of 25 pleached trees, palms, maybe even conical conifers and



1	things and to see if we could mitigate it at all and the
2	the reaction always to it was this doesn't relieve any of
3	the safety issues. That's why I had to remove it. I mean,
4	I'm closed in, I can't see anybody walking by.
5	And the height of the hedge was a little bit of even a
6	stretch to try to convince them to include that because, you
7	know, they were happy with existing conditions. Obviously,
8	it came up in code enforcement
9	So, you know, we're okay with a hedge but anything
10	taller than that just was always responded with it's I
11	can't see around it, it's very dangerous, I'm very
12	concerned.
13	COMMISSIONER CATLIN: Yeah. See, I just don't see
14	MR. MIZELL: Understand.
15	COMMISSIONER CATLIN: I don't see the hedge resolving
16	that.
17	MR. MIZELL: Understand.
18	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Thank you. Ms. Shiverick followed by
19	Mr. Smith.
20	COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: I agree with Ms. Catlin as to
21	these proposed changes not really addressing the issue here.
22	I walk by this house a lot and I always wonder why such
23	a big piece of property has such a small little driveway. To
24	me the solution might be, and I don't know if the owner
25	would consider this, but a circular driveway that goes



1	she's got so much property there. Another curb cut would
2	eliminate the safety issue. You could screen the house with
3	all kinds of trees, then, because you have the safety issue
4	resolved.
5	I don't I would start all over. And and these
6	little hedges you want to put in, I don't get it. I don't
7	know what they do or will do, with your problem here.
8	And I also want to ask you, in the letter of objection,
9	there was a mention of the statute being over lighted. Do
10	you have any comments about that? We saw photos in the
11	letter.
12	MR. LYNCH: Yeah, I understand that. And that was a
13	subject of a staff approval that was given prior to
14	certificate of occupancy by Mr. Martin. So that was
15	addressed with staff and approved.
16	And like I said, what you see
17	CHAIRMAN SMALL: I'm sorry. Sir, you were muffled. What
18	did you say?
19	MR. LYNCH: I'm sorry. That was the subject of a staff
20	approval given by Mr. Martin.
21	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Martin?
22	MR. LYNCH: Josh Martin. Uh-huh.
23	CHAIRMAN SMALL: All right.
24	MR. LYNCH: Prior to certificate of occupancy. So what
25	was what's installed minus those two trees was what was



approved.

COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: Well, judging from the photo, and I would actually make a point to walk by this house at night to see that lighting, I had -- the lighting on that statute was very bright.

6 7 8

9

1

2

3

4

5

MR. LYNCH: Understood.

COMMISSIONER SHIVERICK: And I don't know if it was approved or not, but it sounds like it was and it should be adjusted, in my opinion.

But I do think that you have to dig deeper here for a better solution. I think you have room to do a nice circular driveway. The house is set back well enough from the street. I -- yeah. That's all I have. Thank you.

14 15 MR. LYNCH: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SMALL: Thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER SMITH: I actually think that raising the 17 hedge height up exacerbates the problem. You're not going to 18 be able to see anything.

And I think, Ms. Catlin, the idea of pleached trees, that you can keep clear from the wall height to approximately six feet and then let the hedge bloom up, I think that would solve most the problem. But another solution would certainly be to have a crescent driveway.

CHAIRMAN SMALL: Yeah. That's a good -- good point.

24 25

Ms. Grace, did you want to speak again?



1 COMMISSIONER GRACE: Yeah. I was just wondering could 2 you explain it to me? I don't -- what was the problem with 3 the trees that you removed? I mean, it seemed like those could be cut underneath and --4 MR. LYNCH: Well, as they were installed and as they 5 6 began to grow, when the client would back down out of the 7 driveway she had difficult seeing and she had a couple of 8 close calls with both pedestrians, people on the sidewalk, 9 and also vehicular traffic. 10 Keep in mind, too, that about 80 feet to the west is a 11 very tall hedge for the condominium that's there. So that's 12 -- that's the problem that she had was visibility coming out 13 of the driveway. 14 COMMISSIONER GRACE: Those trees, they look like 15 they're pretty high at the base, so it doesn't -- it must 16 have been the hedge that created the problem. 17 MR. LYNCH: I believe it was the trees. That's why she 18 took those out. 19 CHAIRMAN SMALL: Thank you, Ms. Grace. 20 Mr. Floershemier? 21 COMMISSIONER FLOERSHEMIER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 I'm not sure why you keep referring to backing out of 23 the driveway. She -- L2 clearly shows a car path where you 24 back up into the driveway and then pull out headfirst. So 25 there's plenty of room to, you know, inch your way out



slowly with good visibility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

I think the north façade needs a lot more planting and I would go the other way. I would -- I would make that hedge six or eight feet high and have the hedge grow over to make an archway to, you know, soften the hard lines of the house. But those are my comments.

CHAIRMAN SMALL: Thank you.

And from the Chair, I joint my fellow commissioners. I think there's a sparsity of landscaping. I think it's definitely a distraction from the structure which gives an appearance of it being overly massive.

As I recall, this was two townhouses that were combined 13 to a single home, is that correct?

MR. LYNCH: That's correct, yeah.

15 CHAIRMAN SMALL: So you have all that mass from what 16 was intended as the two townhouses and it needs to really be 17 landscaped.

18 And I think Ms. Shiverick's comment with regard to a 19 circular driveway is excellent. It gives the opportunity to 20 do that and do it safely. So I certainly cannot favor this 21 proposal as is presented.

22 If there's no other further comment, then the floor is 23 open for a motion.

24 COMMISSIONER CATLIN: I make a motion to defer to 25 January.



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

	ESQUIRE BOO.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.cor
25	
24	
23	
22	
21	
20	
19	
18	
17	
16	
15	(AGENDA ITEM CONCLUDED)
14	MR. LYNCH: Thank you.
13	Thank you.
12	unanimously.
11	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Any opposed? There being none, passes
10	COMMISSION: Aye. (Affirmative response in unison).
9	All in favor signify by saying aye.
8	Ms. Grace.
7	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Thank you. Okay. I have a second from
6	MR. MIZELL: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Did you say yes?
4	COMMISSIONER GRACE: Second.
3	MR. MIZELL: Yes, sir.
2	Dustin?
1	CHAIRMAN SMALL: Does that give you enough time,

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, LOUANNE RAWLS, certify that I was authorized to and did
5	listen to and transcribe the foregoing proceedings and that the
6	transcript is a true and complete record to the best of my
7	ability.
8	
9	Dated this 23rd day of April, 2022.
10	Advan Raule
11	yours stands
12	LOUANNE RAWLS, #J8152389
13	The Corin Of Bandard The Budget Natery Bundard
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ESQUIRE 800.211.DEPO (3376 EsquireSolutions.cor