

1. SOLICITATION PACKAGE DOCUMENTS & EVALUATION FACTORS

1.1. SOLICITATION PACKAGE DOCUMENTS

1.1.4. INTENT LETTER

Please upload your company intent letter executed by person that has the authority to sign the contract on behalf of your company. Please include a statement of your understanding of the scope, and its requirements.

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	OCI Associates, Inc.	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Solicitations Palm Beach Word.doc

Summarizing comment

EXP US Services Inc.

Solicitations Palm Beach Uploaded their entire proposal

Summarizing comment

1.2. SUPPLIER RESPONSE & ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1.2.1. PRIMARY CONTACT

Please provide information regarding who may be contacted regarding this proposal using

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No

the table below.

Best: Yes

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Comments.

GLE Associates, Inc.

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach Did not provide Mobile Phone Numbers

1.2.3. SUB CONTRACTORS

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

Please list or upload the subcontractors that you will use on this contract. Please include the Subcontractor name, address and the amount of years you have used each subcontractor. Bidders using their own forces for the work will need to enter '**My company will not use any sub-contractors**' in No.1 under Sub-Contractor Name.

If subcontractors are listed below, the Bidder acknowledges that they have fully investigated each subcontractor listed and has in their files evidence each subcontractor has engaged successfully in his line of work for a reasonable period of time, of performing the work required. The Town reserves final approval of any subcontractors listed. Following award of contract the Town reserves the right for approval of future subcontractors and refusal of existing or future subcontractors providing work under this contract.

Please use fillable PDF form attached to list your subcontractors.

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Comments.

Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach Not signed nor dated

1.2.4. PROJECT REFERENCES

Evaluation method: Yes / No

Worst: No

Best: Yes

Provide at least five (5) business references, from which all should be related to this scope of work, preferably of public or government type agencies within three (3) years. You may use the provided fillable PDF form or upload your own document which must contain at a minimum the information requested on the Town provided form.

A referenced person(s) must be someone who has personal knowledge of the Proposer's performance. The referenced person must have been informed that they are being used as a reference and that the Town may check references.

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach Town of Palm Beach is listed, but only AP contact info. is given. No contact email listed for PBC. No dates listed for WPB or School District of PBC.

O&S Associates, Inc.

Solicitations Palm Beach No Amount listed for MedXcel

Summarizing comment

EXP US Services Inc.

Solicitations Palm Beach Uploaded the same complete proposal that was uploaded for 1.1.4. INTENT LETTER

Summarizing comment

1.2.5. BIDDERS' QUALIFICATION

Please respond to this question by submitting fillable PDF form attached.

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Comments.

EXP US Services Inc.

Solicitations Palm Beach Uploaded the same complete proposal that was uploaded for 1.1.4. INTENT LETTER and 1.2.4. PROJECT REFERENCES

Summarizing comment

1.2.8. DRUG - FREE WORKPLACE CERTIFICATION

Please submit a drug free form by using attached fillable PDF form.

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Score	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

1.2.10. TRUTH IN NEGOTIATIONS

Please submit a truth in negotiations form by using attached fillable PDF form.

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

1.2.13. E-VERIFY

Proposer warrants and represents that it is in compliance with section 448.095, Florida Statutes, as may be amended, and that it: (1) is registered with the E-Verify System (E-Verify.gov), and beginning January 1, 2021, uses the E-Verify System to electronically verify the employment eligibility of all newly hired workers; and (2) has verified that all its proposer workers, subcontractors performing the duties and obligations of this CONTRACT are registered with the E-Verify System, and beginning January 1, 2021, uses the E-Verify System to electronically verify the employment eligibility of all newly hired workers.

Please select "Yes" to confirm acceptance of this term and sign the attached form and resubmit.

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

1.2.14. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

Proposer shall provide adequate information with its proposal to demonstrate that it satisfies the following minimum as set forth below. The Town will consider what types of experience the Proposer has when making a determination of award. All decisions of the Town are final.

Proposer, with its proposal, must demonstrate successful performance and relevant experience and qualifications with respect to projects comparable in type, size, complexity, and scheduling as provided for in these solicitations documents. The Bidder shall have a minimum of five (5) years experience with municipal or commercial contracts. Please provide following:

Name of Project
Name of Owner and Project Locations
Description of Project
List elements of the project:
Contact name, telephone number, fax number, email address
Project duration including start and end dates
Description of any change orders (time, money, etc.)
List of subcontractors that you have utilized

Note: Please upload one (1) minimum qualification form per project completed.

Documents:

Note: Please upload documentation for above requirements.

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Evaluation method: Yes / No
Worst: No
Best: Yes

	OCI Associates, Inc.	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Solicitations Palm Beach (100%)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Score	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach Projects did not include any detail of the service provided and were not comparable in terms of size/scope, with the exception of Miami International Airport. Revised: Allowed based on references; the projects listed on the reference form is sufficient to demonstrate minimum qualifications.

O&S Associates, Inc.

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach City of Jersey City project is not relevant to scope. Other 2 projects are applicable and meet the requirements.

Roth IAMS LLC

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach Canadian sister company has demonstrated qualifications.

EXP US Services Inc.

Summarizing comment

Solicitations Palm Beach Uploaded the same complete proposal that was uploaded for 1.1.4. INTENT LETTER, 1.2.4. PROJECT REFERENCES and 1.2.5. BIDDERS' QUALIFICATION

1.3. EVALUATION FACTORS

1.3.1. Experience of Firm / Past Performance

Please provide following information by uploading a document(s):

Company Credentials

Current Related Projects

Completed Governmental and/or Commercial Projects related to this scope

Schedule/Budget Compliance of Previous Work

Understanding of the Town's needs

Note: weight of this criteria is 35 points

Evaluation method: Value

Worst: 0

Best: 5

Unit: Points

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	2.5	3.5	3.5	3	4

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Brett Madison (33.33%)	3	3	3	4	3
Mike Roach (33.33%)	2.5	2.5	3.5	3.5	3
Score	18.67%	21%	23.33%	24.5%	23.33%
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	4	3.5	4.5	4	3.5
Brett Madison (33.33%)	3	3	3.5	3	4
Mike Roach (33.33%)	2.5	4	3.5	3	3
Score	22.17%	24.5%	26.83%	23.33%	24.5%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Paul Colby	Name states GFA international but proposal name is Universal Engineering services. Local office (Delray). Seems to have limited facility assessment exp. or did not show in proposal
Mike Roach	Very little detail, showing they understand the Town's needs. Reference projects had no description of the scope of services.

Summarizing comment

O&S Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Good Exp. Only one project in Florida recent exp in Fla. Is an apartment complex.
Mike Roach	Did not really highlight the Town's needs. Although the company may have the experience, the projects provided were renovation/ upgrades services.

Summarizing comment

nova engineering & environmental

Paul Colby	Good recent project experience
Mike Roach	Projects presented are only from last six months. Consultant appears to have knowledge of the Town's asks. Did not see how often they do these PCA.

Summarizing comment

Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC

Paul Colby	Good past performance and exp. of company but seems limited to structural assessments
Mike Roach	35 Year old Company. Pretty detailed in their approach. As evaluations could include curb, pavement, landscape, to towers, lifts etc, but had some exceptions, like does not look above ceiling tile. Like that they wanted to do one building as pilot program and work from there.

Summarizing comment

GLE Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Several ongoing facility assessment projects
Mike Roach	Submitted appears to show a list of projects with similar scopes of services to that of the RFQ. Did not see any additional background of the company or how they plan to approach the project.

Summarizing comment

OCI Associates, Inc

Paul Colby	Good recent and past exp.
Mike Roach	Listed Lots of Projects, but could not see how most related to the RFQ. The one project they highlighted at the end is a Airfield in San Fran. Not sure the size of the company or resources. they apparently will have 3 sub consultants working with them

Summarizing comment

Roth IAMS LLC

Paul Colby	Asset management planning same as what we are asking. Unclear of their Exp. is consistent with our needs
Mike Roach	Appear to be a company that specializes in Property Condition Assessment. They had a detailed approach to each element of the assessment. Also addressed some what,ifs. Most to all of the projects they referenced for experience were out of state. Surprisingly they have a St Pete Office.

Summarizing comment

Nelson Engineering Co

Paul Colby	Impressive exp.
Mike Roach	References and scopes of services provided show the consultant has an understanding of the Town's needs. They also highlight challenges they encountered and how they worked through them. Highlight the multi Discipline inspections and vast services they can offer.

Summarizing comment

ISES Corporation

Paul Colby	Great exp. in proposal. Wished they shared data sheets for a few 'related' projects to see current scope provided.
Mike Roach	Company appears to do a lot of Facilities Condition Assessment (FCA), but I did not really see any detail that highlights what that is. Does that FCA include Mechanical, Electrical, Structural, plumbing etc, what is the approach.

Summarizing comment

EXP US Services Inc.

Summarizing comment

Paul Colby	Good recent project experience
Mike Roach	Local Firm. Also has recourses to reach out to in it's 3500 employees 90 offices to include it's own testing lab. Would like to have seen more on how they plan to approach the building evaluation, and provide deliverables.

1.3.2. Experience / Ability of Personnel

Please attach detailed requirements, but not limited to:
 Organizational Chart
 Management's Credentials
 Project Personnel Resumes assigned to the Town, including subconsultants if any
 High quality level of services to be provided to Town

Evaluation method: Value
 Worst: 0
 Best: 5
 Unit: Points

Note: weight of this criteria is 35 points

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	2.5	2.5	3.5	3	4
Brett Madison (33.33%)	3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3
Mike Roach (33.33%)	3	3	3.5	3	4
Score	19.83%	21%	24.5%	22.17%	25.67%
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	4	3.5	3.5	4	3
Brett Madison (33.33%)	4	3.5	4	3.5	4
Mike Roach (33.33%)	3	3.5	4	3.5	3.5
Score	25.67%	24.5%	26.83%	25.67%	24.5%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Paul Colby	WPB Rep. has not performed scope (per resume) entire team seems to be lacking or not provided facility assessment exp. - portions of scope but not entire building assessments.
Mike Roach	No MEP in Org Chart. Those listed are project team is detailed for Structural, Geotechnical, and materials.

Summarizing comment

O&S Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Lots of housing authority exp. seems to ha limited facility assessment exp for municipal buildings.
Mike Roach	Lots of experience, ranged from 20+ to 40. not sure what office they all work out of. Noted only one with any really Florida experience.

Summarizing comment

nova engineering & environmental

Paul Colby	Good team exp.
Mike Roach	Personnel looks to be qualified. Would like to see more related to their approach. Also would like to see more details to the scopes or task on the project Resumes of each team memeber.

Summarizing comment

Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC

Paul Colby	Good team provided, Exp. of firm is older
Mike Roach	Not a lot of detail on the Org chart or for the resumes of the proposed project team.

Summarizing comment

GLE Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Strong team with relevant exp.
Mike Roach	Project team presented had a good detailed resume documenting Facilities Assessment. This section the provided the companies methodology/approach in detail which could have been attached to first section.

Summarizing comment

OCI Associates, Inc

Paul Colby	Strong team with relevant exp.
Mike Roach	Project team appears to be very well versed. Did not see any real focus on Facility assessment.

Summarizing comment

Roth IAMS LLC

Paul Colby	Good team, good project exp.
Mike Roach	Project team looks to be well versed. Did not see much experience if any with Florida or coastal. Also not sure where the team is based out of, as Roth has an office in St Pete.

Summarizing comment

Nelson Engineering Co

Paul Colby	Good team exp.
Mike Roach	Org Chart recognizes Multi Disciplines. Project team appears to be well versed in the Facilities Assessment. Appears Project team highlights multi programs to help facility the assessments

Summarizing comment

ISES Corporation

Paul Colby	Strong team with relevant exp.
Mike Roach	Project team apparently have 120+ years total in Facilities Condition Assessment. Mostly outside of the state of Florida. Did not really see any detail as to the scopes of the FCA for the various sites.

Summarizing comment

EXP US Services Inc.

Paul Colby	Good Exp, but more on the new building and structural side
Mike Roach	Org Chart and proposed project team was detailed showing a lot of experience in structural and civil. Would have one sub for MEP.

Summarizing comment

1.3.3. Financial Information

- Financial resources and capabilities information: An indication of the resources and the necessary working capital available and how it will relate to the firm's financial stability through the completion of the project should be included in the response
- Evidence of insurance capability
- Present and future litigation or dispute and resolutions

Note: Town will reserve the right to request from short listed firms Dun & Bradstreet report or Financial Statement certified or reviewed by a CPA

Note: weight of the criteria is 15 points

Evaluation method: Value

Worst: 0

Best: 5

Unit:

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Brett Madison (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Mike Roach (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Score	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5

	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Brett Madison (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Mike Roach (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Score	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

O&S Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

nova engineering & environmental

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

GLE Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

OCI Associates, Inc

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

Roth IAMS LLC

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

Nelson Engineering Co

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

ISES Corporation

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

EXP US Services Inc.

Paul Colby	Scored all proposers equally in this section due to TOPB Finance Department review Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Brett Madison	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche
Mike Roach	Evaluation provided by Jane LeClainche

Summarizing comment

1.3.4. Workload and Scheduling

Over-all workload of the company
Project scheduling ability/timely completion of work
Schedule to accommodate this project
Applicability of the services offered

Note: weight of this criteria is 15 points

Evaluation method: Value
Worst: 0
Best: 5
Unit:

	GFA International, Inc.	O&S Associates, Inc.	nova engineering & environmental	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC	GLE Associates, Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	3	2.5	3	3	3.5
Brett Madison (33.33%)	3	3	3	3.5	3
Mike Roach (33.33%)	2.5	3.5	3.5	3	3
Score	8.5%	9%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%
	OCI Associates, Inc	Roth IAMS LLC	Nelson Engineering Co	ISES Corporation	EXP US Services Inc.
Paul Colby (33.33%)	3.5	3.5	4	3	1
Brett Madison (33.33%)	4	3	3.5	3	3
Mike Roach (33.33%)	2.5	4	4.5	4	2.5
Score	10%	10.5%	12%	10%	6.5%

Comments.

GFA International, Inc.

Paul Colby	6 week timeframe - large 20/21 workload, no 2022 workload projects listed.
Mike Roach	Did not see any workload or proposed project schedule. Info in this section should have been incorporated into the first section of experience.

Summarizing comment

O&S Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	No defined workload or schedule - seems like boilerplate info.
Mike Roach	Did not see how the work will impact their workload. Also did not see any type of schedule to us an understanding of length of time to perofrm. however their approach to the project and how they plan set up that scheduled seem detailed

Summarizing comment

nova engineering & environmental

Paul Colby	Workload seems to work well with their existing clients, could not locate project schedule
Mike Roach	they state they could meet the workload has they have a few projects wrapping up. Work appears to be directed out of their Ft lauderdale office. Not sure who on the project team listed is actually from that office. Didi not see a schedule or outline of what they entail how lont the work will take.

Summarizing comment

Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments LLC

Paul Colby	Workload seams reasonable , no schedule provided.
Mike Roach	Approximately 1 year to evaluate and provide final deliverable, which appears to be similar to the projects they provided as reference. Work load is available.

Summarizing comment

GLE Associates, Inc.

Paul Colby	Workload seems to work well with their existing clients - no schedule provided
Mike Roach	Workload and schedule appear to be combined. Little confusing. Expand on the 5 step Methodology schedule wise.

Summarizing comment

OCI Associates, Inc

Paul Colby	60 to 90 day schedule. moderate workload
Mike Roach	It appears a lot of the referenced project as experience are still being worked per their workload. Would like to have seen a more clear approach as to how the schedule will be laid out and the project delivered.

Summarizing comment

Roth IAMS LLC

Paul Colby	December 30, 2021 end date of project??
Mike Roach	Consultant projected a 2 person team for the work. And estimated the work would take approximately 2.5 months per the provided schedule. They feel this would not impact their workload.

Summarizing comment

Nelson Engineering Co

Paul Colby	approx. 90 day schedule, existing workload seems acceptable, good approach
Mike Roach	Consultant had a detail plan to their workload along with a detail plan to how they would approach the schedule. The schedule the provided estimated 6 months.

Summarizing comment

ISES Corporation

Paul Colby	16 week schedule - and can accommodate the Towns 16 buildings.
Mike Roach	Their work load providing FCA is typically 1500-2000 building and the town would only be adding approximately 16. They estimate the the FCA to take 16 weeks.

Summarizing comment

EXP US Services Inc.

Paul Colby	Could not locate workload or schedule
Mike Roach	Did not see workload or project scheduling. Most of the reference showed they could do inspections or had the knowledge too

Summarizing comment

Report generated: Nov 12 2021 2:05 PM