From: <u>Antonette Fabrizi</u> on behalf of <u>Town Council</u>

To: <u>Kirk Blouin; Jay Boodheshwar</u>
Cc: <u>Kelly Churney; Town Clerks Staff</u>

Subject: FW: ARCOM"s Request to Consider Demolitions

Date: Thursday, October 08, 2020 12:28:09 PM

Attachments: Palm Beach property values 8-28-2020.docx

From: Jay Serzan < jayserzan@comcast.net> Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2020 8:17 AM

To: Gail Coniglio <GConiglio@TownofPalmBeach.com>; Danielle Hickox Moore

<DMoore@TownofPalmBeach.com>; Margaret Zeidman <MZeidman@TownofPalmBeach.com>;

Julie Araskog < jaraskog@TownOfPalmBeach.com>; Lew Crampton

<lcrampton@TownOfPalmBeach.com>; Bobbie Lindsay <BLindsay@TownofPalmBeach.com>

**Cc:** Town Council <TCouncil@TownofPalmBeach.com>; Wayne Bergman

<wbergman@TownOfPalmBeach.com>; Laura Groves van Onna

<lvanonna@TownOfPalmBeach.com>

**Subject:** ARCOM's Request to Consider Demolitions

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*Note: This email was sent from a source external to the Town of Palm Beach. Links or attachments should not be accessed unless expected from a trusted source. Additionally, all requests for information or changes to Town records should be verified for authenticity.\*\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Mayor Coniglio and Council Members,

I am writing in reference to Item # IX.C ARCOM's Request to Consider Demolitions on your agenda of October 14, 2020.

As most of you know, this exact same matter was discussed in great detail at the Council meeting three years ago on September 19, 2017.

At that time, the Council did not take any action on the matter and I feel that the reasons therefor, as explained by Mayor Coniglio and Councilpersons Moore, Lindsay and Zeidman are as valid today as they were then.

You can find an audio link to this discussion here:

https://townofpalmbeach.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?

view\_id=5&clip\_id=1901&meta\_id=186350

The Council also mentioned increasing the LPC funding to allow more properties to be landmarked. I think this is a good idea. I might point out that the LPC is doing their job with thirteen properties presently 'under consideration' for landmark status.

Also, at the ARCOM meeting on August 27<sup>th</sup>, a commission member suggested that demolition be made a staff level approval. This is a positive suggestion that would hopefully remove some of the angst commissioners have in approving demolition as basically a ministerial function.

If you were to pursue this suggestion, I'm sure you would want to keep ARCOM review over the Landscape Demolition & Construction Screening Plan and the Construction Staging and Truck Logistics Plan.

I also want to suggest that rather than having ARCOM act as a shadow Landmarks Preservation Commission, perhaps a solution may be to have the Historic Preservation Planner reach out to ARCOM applicants whose properties might be worthy of saving in whole or in part. This could be done between the time of filing the ARCOM application and the ARCOM meeting during which time the benefits of the Historic Conservation Districts Ordinance and other options could be reviewed with the applicant. If this is successful.....we have a win. If not, demolition proceeds.

I'm sure you also recall your extensive discussion on demolitions that you had at your August 14, 2019 meeting. At this meeting you asked staff to work on 'incentives and not restrictions'. We are well on our way with your recent enactment of the Historic Conservation Districts Ordinance that is working to reduce the number of demolitions. Already, the property at 346 Seaspray Avenue is taking advantage of this Ordinance to renovate and expand a 1925 home. I know the staff is working on further proposals to provide additional incentives to give owners options to avoid demolition.

I would also ask if any new demolition prohibition or demolition delay could give rise to Bert Harris claims?

Lastly, I want to point out that our Town is in a unique position in that it is being renewed with new construction. Many communities would be overjoyed to have the amount of new construction that we have. We just have to manage it properly and I think we are doing that pretty well. I'm sure you saw the recent article (attached) in the Shiny Sheet about property values and new construction. I know you are well aware that the added value of new construction supports our tax base and helps to keep our property taxes lower.

In closing, I would ask that you maintain the status quo as it relates to demolition of non-landmarked properties.

As always, thank you for your time and consideration.

Jay Serzan

## Jay Serzan

353 Seabreeze Avenue | Palm Beach FL 33480 t 401.846.5902 jayserzan@comcast.net

## With Palm Beach property values up, owners in town could be taxed at the lowest rate in the county, new estimates show

The total market value of residential, commercial and other real estate in town hit \$24.7 billion, according to the preliminary figures from the Palm Beach County Property Appraiser's office.

**Darrell Hofheinz** Palm Beach Daily News August 28, 2020

Palm Beach property owners also would pay the lowest tax rate of any municipality or unincorporated area in the county, if the trends revealed by the preliminary figures hold steady. Real estate observers agree that Palm Beach's favorable tax picture has become one of the island's biggest draws for residents of states with far higher tax profiles, including New York and parts of New England as well as California.

The total market value of residential, commercial and other real estate in town hit \$24.7 billion, according to the preliminary figures. That amount includes real estate but not taxable items — such as business equipment — known as "tangible personal property."

Total market values for Palm Beach real estate saw a 2.6% increase over the valuations in the final tax rolls for 2019. The overall market values used to compute last year's tax rolls totaled about \$24.08 billion.

The total market figures are the starting point for calculating property taxes. Once homestead and other exemptions are figured into the picture, the "taxable value" of real estate on the island clocked in, preliminarily, at \$19.93 billion — a 4.6% rise over the final figures from last year.

"I thought it was another very good year for Palm Beach," Jacks said Thursday.

Part of the reason for the hike in property values, she said, was the amount of new construction completed last year in time for the Jan. 1 valuations for 2020.

New construction in the latest preliminary tax rolls has been appraised at \$129 million, she said, compared to just \$59 million in the previous year.

"We had (finished) construction (in 2019) on 75 single-family homes — both new and renovations," Jacks said. "It's pretty easy to see that, too, on the ground if you drive around.

"The other thing that's interesting about it is that this value is brand new value — it's not re-assessed value. This (value) has never been taxed before," she said.

Last year's busy real estate market, which picked up significantly in the late spring, resulted in a remarkably high number of residential sales, including three deals that hit or topped \$99 million, according to their documented prices.

"We hit \$1.2 billion for the first time in single-family sales last year — a record," confirmed Jim McCann, immediate past president of the Palm Beach Board of Realtors and an agent at Premier Estate Properties.

And more real estate sales at stronger prices can result in higher valuations, especially when a homesteaded property sells to someone who won't be seeking an exemption in the new tax rolls.

Palm Beach, Jacks acknowledged, is "an amazing area," albeit a relatively small one, geographically, when compared to the rest of the county.

In the county, she added, there are about 650,000 parcels counted in the tax rolls. Of those, Palm Beach has about 9,000.

"But there are 34 (single-family properties) worth over \$40 million" in Palm Beach, she said.

In fact, the majority of the town's parcels are classified as residential — single-family homes and vacant land, along with apartment buildings, condominiums and co-operative units.

The total market value of the island's residential property is \$22.48 billion, according to the preliminary data. That figure reflects an increase of 2.6% over the previous year's final numbers.

The taxable value of the town's residential real estate — figuring in exemptions and so-called "tax caps" — clocks in at \$18.12 billion, a year-over-year rise of 4.7%, the preliminary figures show.

Single-family homes, by far, generate the largest share of the total market value assigned to Palm Beach real estate. Those properties have a market value of \$16.11 billion — up 2.8% over last year — and a taxable value of \$12.57 billion, a hike of 5.3% over the final 2019 tax rolls, the new figures show.

## **Taxing authorities**

The estimates for property values could change for owners who successfully challenge them. Owners have until Sept. 14 to negotiate with Jacks' staff or to file a petition to have the state-chartered Value Adjustment Board review the valuation. Alternatively, owners can pursue a challenge with a lawsuit in Palm Beach County circuit court.

The taxable values are used by officials in Palm Beach, the county, the school board and other taxing authorities to estimate tax revenue and set tax rates for budget years that begin Oct. 1.

Palm Beach property owners' total taxes will be figured using the lowest rate in the county — \$16.57 per \$1,000 of their properties' taxable values, according to the latest preliminary estimates.

The town's gets to keep about 19% of the tax revenue generated by its properties. The rest goes to the county, school district and other taxing authorities.

Palm Beach's proposed rate compares to rates in municipalities such as Manalapan (at \$16.80 per \$1,000 in taxable value); Gulf Stream (\$17.26); Highland Beach (\$17.29), Boca Raton (\$18.06), Jupiter (\$18.72) and West Palm Beach (\$21.97).

Pahokee and Belle Glade are tied with the highest proposed tax rates — \$24.08 per \$1,000 of taxable value.

## Town budget, tax rate

Last month, Palm Beach Town Manager Kirk Blouin presented a proposed budget of \$80.9 million to the Town Council, trimmed by about \$3 million from last year.

The budget, which will be finalized in September, demanded a modest municipal tax increase for property owners who lack a homestead exemption. Town property taxes and accompanying assessments will remain all but flat for homesteaded owners, whose properties make up about 35% of the total.

Blouin proposed to lower the town's tax rate to \$2.99 per \$1,000 of taxable value, down from the \$3.06 per \$1,000 of taxable value set last year. His proposed rate also is lower than the preliminary tax rate for the town released in July by Jacks' office of about \$3.68 per \$1,000 of taxable value.

Because taxable property values in town have risen, owners without a homestead exemption will still pay an average of \$68 more per \$1 million of value, according to the town.

A homestead exemption prevents a property's value assessment from increasing more than 3 percent per year or more than the change in the Consumer Price Index, whichever is lower. The exemption also offers property owners a discount on each year's taxes.

In its preliminary budget hearing in July, the tax rate endorsed by the town provides some wiggle room if circumstances demanded budget revisions before the final budget hearing next month. But the fact that the Town Council plans to lower its tax rate was not lost on Jacks.

"It speaks to fiscal responsibility," she said. "The goal is to have the tax rate go down as the values go up."

She also noted that items that need to be paid for with tax dollars vary widely in the county, so making apples-to-apples comparisons among towns and cities can be difficult when it comes to tax rates and revenue.

The overall tax estimates could change, once the town and other taxing authorities finalize their budgets next month.

The town's budget and final property tax rate will be adopted at public hearings on Sept. 10 and 16.