	·
1	IN RE:
2	
3	AUDIO/VIDEO TRANSCRIPTION OF
4	Town of Palm Beach ARCOM Hearing 9/25/24
5	for ARC-24-0032, 272 VIA MARILA
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Transcribed Audio Recording By
19	Esther Smith
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Job No.: 383681
25	

	Page 2
1	The following proceeding was transcribed from an
2	audio/video file as follows:
3	MR. SMITH: Okay. The next item on the agenda
4	is item D, minor projects, old business. Item one
5	is ARC 24-0032, 272 via Marila.
6	MS. MITTNER: Yes. This is a combination
7	project. It is back for the third time to request a
8	gate to span the only vehicular or pedestrian access
9	to the property. The gate is now proposed at 13
10	feet wide with vertical pickets, larger spacing to
11	improve the transparency.
12	The variance is requested to reduce the
13	required vehicular stacking space so therefore two
14	motions will be required by the commission. One for
15	the design and whether the variance has a negative
16	impact. We have not received any new public comment
17	on this item.
18	MS. CHURNEY: Ms. Connaughton, ex parte.
19	MS. CONNAUGHTON: I drove by the site again.
20	MR. SAMMONS: I drove by the site.
21	MR. PHOENIX: None.
22	MR. KARAKUL: None.
23	MS. SHIVERICK: None.
24	MS. CATLIN: I emailed Wayne to request
25	additional information.

	Page 3
1	MR. SMITH: None.
2	MS. GRACE: I went by the site.
3	MR. MIZELL: Thank you. Good morning. Dustin
4	Mizell with Environment Design Group on behalf of my
5	client, Melissa Wight. Melissa was here last month
6	to just share with you some of her issues with
7	security that she has relative to a personal matter
8	of her life. So unfortunately, she's not here again
9	to, to answer any questions or shed more light on
10	that. She's traveling right now for a wedding out
11	of town. But she thanked you again for allowing her
12	to speak on that sensitive issue last month.
13	Just a little bit of a, a reminder again as was
14	mentioned by Ms. Mittner, this is our third time
15	here. The first time we came in with a solid gate
16	driven again by the privacy concerns. And then last
17	month we did come back with not an opaque gate,
18	about 50 percent opacity, but too industrial
19	looking.
20	And then also as well the exposure of the
21	proposed gate was still too wide on the street. So
22	here we are for our third request and what we've
23	done and just remind the context of home, mid-
24	century modern and black finishes everywhere.
25	What we've done is we've come back with the

Page 4 1 charge was what can we do to, to mitigate or 2 minimize the exposure from the street and also to a little bit more of a decorative gate that didn't 3 4 just look so industrial. So we've reduced the existing curb cut which is 20 feet wide right now 5 we're bringing it down to 12 feet. 6 7 So we're -- it's okay. So we're losing eight 8 foot of curb exposure, extending the Clusia hedge, add a little bit of decorative elements to the gate 9 but not much because we won't be too conflicting 10 11 with the style of the home. But you can see right 12 now, here's the current exposure at the bottom. so by losing eight feet we can really reduce it. 13 14 It's a little bit of an angle so it's not so 15 visible like straight on. We can't push it back any 16 further. Mr. Florsheimer had asked us to look at 17 that. So again, we're, we're just here, you know, 18 requesting for consideration due to the sensitivity and the nature of my client and some current 19 20 security issues she is having in her life. 21 And also the fact that we think that the 22 addition of it and the reduced -- reducing of the curb cut exposure will be a benefit to the street 23 24 and a variance is required. And this is our third 25 time here and we have not had any issues or

Page 5 complaints from the neighbors and nothing but 1 2 support for this. Thank you. 3 MR. SMITH: Okay. Ms. Grace? MS. GRACE: Yes. Thank you. I was just 4 wondering if we could -- I could ask our attorney. 5 Are we supposed to be considering the security 6 7 issues for the client as part of our review? 8 MS. FRANSISCO: Commissioner Grace, thank you 9 for the question. We are not supposed to be considering anything other than the architectural 10 review criteria contained within our town code. 11 12 so while it is very sad and disappointing to hear about these security issues, your deliberations 13 today should be limited to what is being presented 14 15 aesthetically before you today. 16 MS. GRACE: Okay. And then I was just 17 wondering -- I just want to make sure this memo from 18 the town staff is that with respect to the current 19 design, that it says that the proposed gate 20 requiring a variance, you know, potentially creates an unsafe condition or blockage within the roadway. 21 22 Is that with respect to the current design? It's for the placement of the 23 MS. MITTNER: It's not relative to the actual design 24 25 because of the stacking --

Page 6 1 MS. GRACE: Yeah. That's what I mean. The 2 placement is still --3 MS. MITTNER: Yes. correct. 4 MS. GRACE: -- in effect? It's still a concern. It's still 5 MS. MITTNER: in its exact location and is not providing the 6 7 adequate stacking requirement on a narrow street. 8 Correct. 9 MS. GRACE: It's on a narrow street and there 10 are no other gates on the street? 11 MS. MITTNER: Correct. That we are aware of. 12 No vehicular gates. Yes. 13 MS. GRACE: Okay. I, I personally still find that the character of, of the gate and the street 14 15 that it doesn't fit in well, and that, I don't know. 16 Maybe it was Mrs. Shiverick who said last time that 17 an intruder might be able to get in through the 18 hedges or something like that. So I'm wondering if 19 it really meets the security needs and they might be 20 met through some other means. 21 Ms. Shiverick. MR. SMITH: 22 Thanks. I really sympathize MS. SHIVERICK: 23 with the owner and the situation, I, you know, and I 24 appreciate your attempts to shrink the curb cut and 25 move the hedges, etc. I find that the gate design

Page 7
be
of
e
ates

- 1 still looks industrial, and I think that the
- 2 negative impacts of us allowing this gate will be
- 3 sort of pervasive. We'll get, you know, a lot of
- 4 requests for gates in the North End which no one
- 5 wants to see.
- I mean, I think gates are fine for the estates
- 7 on South Ocean and North Ocean but on a side street
- 8 in the North End, I just don't think they belong.
- 9 And I, I would really think a better security system
- 10 would be some sort of cameras and a really good
- 11 alarm system. Better than a gate that's -- I think
- 12 aesthetically this just doesn't look right.
- 13 MR. SMITH: Ms. Catlin.
- 14 MS. CATLIN: I agree with Ms. Shiverick. I
- 15 think that the, the gate is not appropriate here.
- 16 And yet my heart really goes out to your client.
- 17 But I just, you know, our, our job is the
- 18 aesthetics. And I just -- are we ready for a motion
- 19 do you think?
- 20 MR. SMITH: There's no other people that have
- 21 rung in to speak. So yes.
- MS. CHURNEY: We need public comment.
- 23 MR. SMITH: Anyone from the public like to
- 24 address this -- the commission regarding this
- 25 project?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Page 8 MS. CHURNEY: I would
2	MS. MITTNER: (indiscernible)
3	MS. CHURNEY: It's okay.
4	MS. CATLIN: Let me see if I see. I, I would
5	say that this make a motion to decline. That it
6	is not which one is it? It's not in
7	SPEAKER 1: Deny?
8	MS. CATLIN: Deny. It's not in, in good
9	conformity with the neighborhood. Which section is
10	that one?
11	SPEAKER 1: Number one.
12	MS. CATLIN: Number one. That the plan for the
13	proposed gate is not in conformity with good taste
14	and design in general does not contribute to the
15	image of the town as a place of beauty,
16	spaciousness, balance, taste, fitness, charm, and
17	high quality.
18	MR. SMITH: Is there a second of the motion?
19	MS. SHIVERICK: I'll second.
20	MR. SMITH: Motion made and seconded. All in
21	favor?
22	(Aye)
23	MR. SMITH: Opposed?
24	MR. PHOENIX: No.
25	MS. CONNAUGHTON: I'm opposed.

	CI 25, 2024
1	Page 9 MR. SMITH: Okay.
2	MR. SAMMONS: I like the fact that they're
3	producing the
4	MR. PHOENIX: Right.
5	MR. SMITH: Let's do a, a roll call just so
6	MS. CHURNEY: Okay. Ms. Catlin?
7	MS. CATLIN: Yes.
8	MS. CHURNEY: Ms. Shiverick?
9	MS. SHIVERICK: Yes.
10	MS. CHURNEY: Mr. Sammons?
11	MR. SAMMONS: Well, I don't even see what the
12	gate looks like, so. But there's no detail. So,
13	no, I'm going to I'm going to just I'm going
14	to add it's a sliding gate. Well, I don't have a
15	problem with the gate but I think it should be a
16	two-leaf gate. And you know, and it's not 12 feet,
17	it's 13 feet
18	MS. CHURNEY: I think there's a motion on the
19	floor.
20	MR. SAMMONS: So.
21	MR. MIZELL: 13 for the columns.
22	MR. SAMMONS: Yeah.
23	MR. MIZELL: Width of the gate is 12, 13 for
24	the columns.
25	MS. CHURNEY: Is that a no, Mr. Sammons?

```
Page 10
          MR. SAMMONS: It's still a -- it's still a no,
 1
     but it's carrying anyway, right?
 3
                       Okay. Mr. Karakul?
          MS. CHURNEY:
 4
          MR. KARAKUL:
                        No.
 5
          MS. CHURNEY:
                        Ms. Connaughton?
 6
          MS. CONNAUGHTON:
                             No.
                        Mr. Phoenix?
          MS. CHURNEY:
 8
          MR. PHOENIX:
                        No.
 9
          MS. CHURNEY: That's a no.
10
          MR. PHOENIX: I'm sorry. Yes.
11
          MS. CHURNEY: Let me -- let me turn on your
12
     microphone.
13
          MR. PHOENIX: Oh, sorry. Yes, I'm supporting
     the motion.
14
15
          MS. CHURNEY: Okay. And Mr. Smith.
16
          MR. SMITH:
                       Yes.
17
                        That passes four to three.
          MS. CHURNEY:
18
          MR. SMITH:
                      Okay.
19
          MR. MIZELL:
                       Thank you.
20
                (End of audio recording.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	Page 11 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
2	
3	I, ESTHER SMITH, do hereby certify:
4	
5	That said audio transcription is a true record
6	as reported by me, a disinterested person.
7	
8	I further certify that I am not interested in
9	the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor
10	related to any of the parties in said action, nor to
11	their respective counsel.
12	
13	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	this 1st day of November, 2024.
15	
16	Exther Smith
17	Esther Smith
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

]				
	addition	audio/		Clusia	connecte
1	4:22	video	C	4:8	đ
	addition	2:2		code	11:8
1	al	aware	call	5:11	consider
8:7,11	2:25	6:11	9:5	columns	ation
12	address	Aye	cameras	9:21,24	4:18
4:6	7:24	8:22	7:10	combinat	containe
9:16,23	adequate		carrying	ion	đ
13	6:7	В	10:2	2:6	5:11
2:9	aestheti		Catlin	comment	context
9:17,21, 23	cally	back	2:24	2:16	3:23
	5:15	2:7	7:13,14	7:22	contribu
1st	7:12	3:17,25	8:4,8,12	commissi	te
11:13	aestheti	4:15	9:6,7	on	8:14
	cs	balance	century	2:14	correct
2	7:18	8:16	3:24	7:24	6:3,8,11
20	agenda	beauty	CERTIFIC	Commissi	counsel
4:5	2:3	8:15	ATE	oner	11:10
2024	agree	behalf	11:1	5:8	
11:13	7:14	3:4	certify	complain	creates 5:20
24-0032	alarm	belong	11:2,7	ts	
2:5	7:11	7:8	characte	5:1	criteria 5:11
272	allowing	benefit	r	concern	
2:5	3:11 7:2	4:23	6:14	6:5	curb
2.5		bit	charge	concerns	4:5,8,23 6:24
	angle 4:14	3:13	4:1	3:16	
5		4:3,9,14	charm	conditio	current 4:12,19
50	ARC	black	8:16		4·12,19 5:18,22
3:18	2:5	3:24	CHURNEY	n 5:21	
	architec	blockage	2:18		cut 4:5,23
	tural	5:21	7:22	conflict	4·5,23 6:24
	5:10	bottom	8:1,3	ing	
access	attempts	4:12	9:6,8,	4:10	
2:8	6:24	bringing	10,18,25	conformi	<u>ע</u>
action	attorney	4:6	10:3,5, 7,9,11,	ty	day
11:8,9	5:5	business	15,17	8:9,13	11:13
actual	audio	2:4	client	Connaugh	decline
5:24	10:20		3:5 4:19	ton	8:5
add	11:4		5:7 7:16	2:18,19	decorati
4:9 9:14			. = 0	8:25	ve
				10:5,6	•

4:3,9	Environm	floor		interest	
delibera	ent	9:19	Н	ed	
tions	3:4	Florshei		11:7	
5:13	estates	mer	hand	intruder	made
	7:6	4:16	11:12	6:17	8:20
Deny	Esther	foot	hear	issue	make
8:7,8	11:2,17	4:8	5:12	3:12	5:17 8:5
design			heart		Marila
2:15 3:4	exact	FRANSISC	7:16	issues	2:5
5:19,22, 24 6:25	6:6	0	hedge	3:6 4:20,25	matter
8:14	existing	5:8	4:8	5:7,13	3:7
	4:5		hedges		means
detail	exposure	G	6:18,25	item	6:20
9:12	3:20			2:3,4,17	
disappoi	4:2,8,	gate	hereunto		meets
nting	12,23	2:8,9	11:12	J	6:19
5:12	extendin	3:15,17, 21 4:3,9	high	deb	Melissa
disinter	g	5:19,24	8:17	job	3:5
ested	4:8	6:14,25	home	7:17	memo
11:5		7:2,11,	3:23		5:17
driven	F	15 8:13	4:11	K	mentione
3:16		9:12,14,		Wassals1	đ
drove	fact	15,16,23	I	Karakul 2:22	3:14
2:19,20	4:21 9:2	gates		10:3,4	met
due	favor	6:10,12	image	10.3,4	6:20
4:18	8:21	7:4,6	8:15		micropho
	feet	general	impact	L	ne
Dustin 3:3	2:10	8:14	2:16	larger	10:12
3:3	4:5,6,13		impacts	2:10	
	9:16,17	good 3:3 7:10	7:2		mid- 3:23
E	file	8:8,13	improve	life	
effect	2:2	·	2:11	3:8 4:20	minimize
6:4	find	Grace 3:2 5:3,	indiscer	light	4:2
	6:13,25	4,8,16	nible	3:9	minor
elements	fine	6:1,4,9,	8:2	limited	2:4
4:9	7:6	13	industri	5:14	mitigate
emailed	finishes	Group	al	location	4:1
2:24	3:24	3:4	aı 3:18 4:4	6:6	Mittner
end		J•4	3:18 4:4 7:1	losing	2:6 3:14
7:4,8	fit			4:7,13	5:23
10:20	6:15		informat	lot	6:3,5,11
	fitness		ion		8:2
	8:16		2:25	7:3	0 2

Mizell		pervasiv	proposed	related	roll
3:3,4	0	e	2:9 3:21	11:9	9:5
9:21,23		7:3	5:19	relative	rung
10:19	Ocean	Phoenix	8:13	3:7 5:24	7:21
modern	7:7	2:21	providin	remind	
3:24	opacity	8:24 9:4	g	3:23	s
month	3:18	10:7,8,	6:6		
3:5,12,	opaque	10,13	public	reminder	sad
17	3:17	pickets	2:16	3:13	5:12
morning	opposed	2:10	7:22,23	reported	Sammons
3:3	8:23,25	place	push	11:5	2:20
motion	outcome	8:15	4:15	request	9:2,10,
7:18			4.13	2:7,24	11,20,
7:18 8:5,18,	11:8	placemen		3:22	22,25
20 9:18	owner	t	Q	requeste	10:1
10:14	6:23	5:23 6:2	744	đ	seconde
		plan	quality 8:17	2:12	8:20
motions	P	8:12	-	requesti	
2:14		potentia	question	ng	section
move	part	lly	5:9	4:18	8:9
6:25	5:7	5:20	question		securit
	parte	presente	s	requests	3:7 4:2
N	2:18	_	3:9	7:4	5:6,13
	parties	d		required	6:19 7:
narrow	11:9	5:14	R	2:13,14	sensiti
6:7,9	passes	privacy		4:24	е
nature	10:17	3:16	ready	requirem	3:12
4:19		problem	7:18	ent	sensiti
negative	pedestri	9:15	received	6:7	ity
2:15 7:2	an	proceedi	2:16	requirin	4:18
neighbor	2:8	ng	record	g	set
	people	2:1	11:4	5:20	
hood	7:20	producin			11:12
8:9	percent	_	recordin	respect 5:18,22	share
neighbor	3:18	g	g		3:6
s	person	9:3	10:20	respecti	shed
5:1	11:5	project	reduce	ve	3:9
North	personal	2:7 7:25	2:12	11:10	Shiveri
7:4,7,8	3:7	projects	4:13	review	k
November		2:4	reduced	5:7,11	2:23
11:13	personal	property	4:4,22	roadway	6:16,21
Number	ly	2:9	reducing	5:21	22 7:14
	6:13	1			

1	ı		
9:8,9	SPEAKER	4:25	vehicula
shrink	8:7,11	6:16	r
6:24	stacking	today	2:8,13
side	2:13	5:14,15	6:12
7:7	5:25 6:7	town	vertical
site	staff	3:11	2:10
2:19,20	5:18	5:11,18	visible
3:2	straight	8:15	4:15
situatio	4:15	transcri	
n	street	bed	W
6:23	3:21	2:1	
sliding	4:2,23	transcri	Wayne
9:14	6:7,9,	ption	2:24
	10,14	11:4	wedding
Smith	7:7	TRANSCRI	3:10
2:3 3:1 5:3 6:21	style	PTIONIST	wide
7:13,20,	4:11	11:1	2:10
23 8:18,	support		3:21 4:5
20,23	5:2	transpar	Width
9:1,5	supporti	ency	9:23
10:15,	ng	2:11	Wight
16,18	10:13	travelin	3:5
11:2,17		g	wonderin
solid	supposed 5:6,9	3:10	
3:15		true	g
sort	sympathi	11:4	5:5,17 6:18
7:3,10	ze	turn	0.10
South	6:22	10:11	
7:7	system	two-leaf	
	7:9,11	9:16	
space 2:13			
	T		
spacing			
2:10	taste	unsafe	
spacious	8:13,16	5:21	
ness	thanked		
8:16	3:11	v	
span	THEREOF		
2:8	11:12	variance	
speak	time	2:12,15	
3:12	2:7	4:24	
7:21	3:14,15	5:20	